



APPLICATION INFORMATION

ADDRESS: 921 S. Main Street

APPLICANT: Dan

BRIEF DESCRIPTION: 1) installation of fencing; 2) installation of walls; 3) installation of landscape features

APPLICABLE GUIDELINES:

- A. *Madison Design Criteria - Fences & Walls - Open Fences*
- B. *Madison Historic Preservation Manual - Iron & Wood Fences - p.102 & 103*
- C. *Madison Design Criteria - Pavement - Driveways/Parking/Walkways*
- D. *Madison Preservation Manual p. 110 - Driveways*
- E. *Madison Preservation Manual p.101 - 102 - New Walkways*
- F. *Madison Design Criteria - Landscape & Landscape Elements*

STAFF COMMENTS:

Analysis:

Fencing:

1. Metal hairpin fencing. Appears to meet guidelines.
2. Brick columns and gate: columns appear to meet the guidelines. Insufficient materials to review the gate. However, a side gate in this area appears to meet guidelines and precedent (note: the solid gate example in the application is not in the historic district).
3. Extending the horizontal board fence. The horizontal board fence was approved in the side yard of the tenant house as being part of the "rural" character of Old Post Road. It seems less appropriate here. However, having disparate fencing types to either side of the columns does not appropriate either. The pattern of the granite post appear to indicate the intent to keep animals from the front yard. This historic demarcation between working landscape and formal landscape appears to be an appropriate location to transition from utilitarian fencing to decorative fencing.

Walks and drives:

1. Drives and materials appear to meeting guidelines.
2. Side yard walks appear to meet guidelines.
3. The walk and large gravel circle would not be appropriate for all properties. A similar design on a smaller scale was approved at 382 Porter Street. Historically, 507 S. Main had a large oval planting in the front yard. The size of the front yard and the style of the house appear to indicated the proposed feature as appropriate.
4. A pea gravel walks, oval lawn, and small gravel circle. See discussion above.
5. Parking areas are generally not allowed forward of the house. Given the size of the front yard and the screening shown on the plan in addition to the likelihood that this will primarily be guest parking, the proposed location appears to be allowable.

The actual benches and urns were not submitted with the application. These should be administratively reviewed when chosen.

Recommendation: Approval recommended with the exception of the driveway gate which should be resubmitted with a design. Benches and urns to be administratively reviewed.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: 921 S. Main Street

Fencing:

1. Metal hairpin fencing matching the fencing installed along the front is proposed along Walker Circle and along the granite posts in the side yard.
2. Brick columns at the Walker Circle driveway entrance to match those on Main Street. A driveway gate installed between the columns. No design or material included in the application.
3. Extending the horizontal board fence along Walker Circle from the proposed brick column to the proposed hairpin fence.

Walks and drives:

1. All drives will be will remain in the same configuration and will be pea gravel replacing asphalt where it exists.
2. Pea gravel walks in the side yard will lead to the pool.
3. A pea gravel walk on axis with the front steps will lead to a gravel circle with an urn at center.
4. A pea gravel walk will lead from the drive on the right to an oval lawn at center and another walk will lead to a small gravel circle at center.
5. Gravel parking areas are proposed adjacent to the drive near the house.

Benches are proposed for various locations.

The following will not be reviewed:

1. Vegetation - not reviewed by the commission unless required for screening.
2. Hairpin fence at front - previously reviewed and approved.
3. Horizontal board fence on the right side - previously reviewed and approved with the condition that it not continue forward of the front wall of the house (portion forward of the front wall of the house installed without a COO to be removed).
4. Pool - previously reviewed and approved.

COMMISSIONER WORK SHEET

Setback:

Front Yard _____
 Side Yard _____
 Rear Yard _____

Materials:

Roofing _____
 Siding _____
 Windows _____
 Doors _____
 Lighting _____
 Foundation _____
 Porches _____
 Decking _____
 Steps _____
 Ornament _____

Hardscape (size, design, placement, materials):

Walks _____
 Drives _____
 Fencing _____
 Lighting _____

Notes:

I move to [approve, approve w/ conditions, or deny] the application dated 12/13/2022 for [state proposed, either all or part] at 921 S. Main Street [as submitted or with the following conditions agreed to by the applicant:

I find [compliance or noncompliance] with the following guidelines: [refer to guidelines referenced in staff report or any that came up in discussion] and [state the following only if applicable] the following circumstances unique to the property: [unique features allowing the decision].